

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/521,330 01/14/2005		Jari Knuuttila	43289-212868	1468
26694 VENABLE LL	7590 09/26/2007 P		EXAM	INER
P.O. BOX 34385			HALPERN, MARK	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20043-9998		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			1731	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/26/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/521,330	KNUUTTILA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
<u>.</u>	Mark Halpern	1731			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was realized to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>13 September 2007</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	•	•			
4) Claim(s) 10-29 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 10-29 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	:				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	Pate			

DETAILED ACTION

1) Acknowledgement is made of Amendment received 9/13/2007. Claims 10-12, 14, are amended.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made
- 2) Claims 10-29, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Riepenhoff (7,051,652).

Claims 10-11, 15, 22: Riepenhoff discloses rotating rolls, roll 38 and 39 in a nip formation, between which is moving web 37. Rotating roll 32 having acquired a damping agent from applicator roll 36 is exposed to light from an imaging means 33, 34. A UV radiation source provides a photocatalitically active material that forms hydrophilic state and causes oxidation on surface 31 of roll 32. It would have been obvious, to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made, that at least some of the oxidation on surface 31 of roll 32 be transferred from roll 32 to roll 38 because roll 32 is in a nip with roll 38 to which an image is transferred. Roll 38 in turn touches the moving web 37. Rotating rolls 32, 38 are rotating around their own axis, and read on rotating member (col. 10, line 61 to col. 11, line 67, and Figure 3).

Art Unit: 1731

Claim 12: it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made, to control the activation speed to obtain desired product results.

Claims 13-14: it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made, to control the release of the web from the surface of the rotating member based on the intensity of the light.

Claims 16-18: a roll, a paper machine and a continuous web are disclosed.

Claims 19-21, 23-24: UV light is disclosed.

Claims 25-29: it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made, to control the light source movement to obtain desired product results.

Response to Amendment

- 3) Claims 10-29 rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, is withdrawn in view of amended claims.
- 4) Claims 10-11, 15, 22 rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Riepenhoff, is withdrawn in view of amended claims.
- 5) Applicant's arguments with respect to pending claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6) Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Art Unit: 1731

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7) Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Halpern whose telephone no. is 571-272-1190.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven Griffin can be reached on 571-272-1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Applications Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov.

/Mark Halpern/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1731